

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,608	GELBER, COHAVA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.</u>		(3) _____	
(2) <u>Melissa B. Wenk.</u>		(4) _____	
Date of Interview: <u>25 January 2007</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over claim 6 of copending Application No. 11/332,849</i>			
Claims discussed: 65-78.			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Ms. Wenk to indicate that the preliminary amendment canceling claim 6 of copending Application No. 11/332,849 has been entered, obviating the issue of the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. The Examiner requested authorization to enter a proposed Examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance. Ms. Wenk authorized entry of the amendment..